

ERIKS HOLDINGS SARL (GROUP)

Luxembourg | Wholesale of other machinery and equipment

Company size: L | Assessment scope: Group

Overall score

57/100

Percentile

44th



Scorecard

Publication date: 8 Jul 2026

Valid until: 8 Jul 2027



Environment

Impact on score ●●○○

61/100

Environment | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

|                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Standard policy on a majority of environmental issues       |
| Environmental policy on materials, chemicals, and waste     |
| Environmental policy scope of application specified         |
| Dedicated responsibility for environmental policy           |
| Environmental policy on energy consumption and GHGs         |
| Environmental policy on customer health and safety          |
| Environmental policy on environmental services and advocacy |

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of a mechanism to review and amend environmental policy       |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on materials, chemicals, and waste |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on environmental issues            |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on energy consumption and GHGs     |

Environment | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

●

0

/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                                        |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of an endorsement of external initiatives or principles on environmental topics |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Environment | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

●

75

/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

|                                                                                                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Training of employees on energy conservation/climate actions                                      |
| Awareness program offered to customers regarding product/service health & safety issues           |
| Reduction of carbon emissions in logistics or optimization of fleet efficiency                    |
| Actions for labeling, storing, handling and transporting hazardous substances                     |
| Use of eco-friendly or bio-based process materials                                                |
| Environmental emergency measures in place                                                         |
| External partnerships or collection programs established to reuse and recycle major waste streams |
| Internal sorting & disposal of waste according to waste streams                                   |
| Post-purchase care services to extend product lifetime                                            |
| Provision of product maintenance instructions to extend product lifetime                          |
| Infrastructure or program to collect and recycle used products                                    |
| Energy and/or carbon audit                                                                        |
| Physical climate risk assessment in place for the company’s operations                            |

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of training of employees on waste reduction and sorting                                                    |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of purchase or generation of renewable energy                                                              |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of reduction of material consumption through process optimization                                          |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of the integration of adaptive practices into operational processes to withstand environmental disruptions |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of the implementation of resilient facility infrastructure to withstand environmental hazards              |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of reduction of energy consumption of HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) systems            |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of reduction of internal wastes through material reuse, recovery or repurpose                              |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of the establishment of emergency reserves or safety nets for environmental disruptions                    |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of the allocation of dedicated budgets or capital investments for environmental resilience                 |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of actions implemented to address external environmental disruptions                                       |

Environment | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 100/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

|                                                                                                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Environmental management system certification covers between 51% and 75% of the assessed scope |
| ISO 14001 certified                                                                            |
| Specific environmental certification                                                           |

Environment | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 75/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It's a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Strengths

|                                                                                                                            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supporting documents show a high level of coverage of environmental actions or certification throughout company operations |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Environment | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

|                                                                                                    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation            |
| Reporting on total energy consumption                                                              |
| Reporting on total gross Scope 3 GHG emissions                                                     |
| Reporting on total weight of waste recovered                                                       |
| Reporting on total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions                                                     |
| Reporting on total gross Scope 2 GHG emissions (market or location based)                          |
| Total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation |
| Total gross Scope 2 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation            |
| Total gross Scope 1 GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation            |
| Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)                     |
| Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting                                                   |
| Reporting on total gross Scope 3 downstream GHG emissions                                          |
| Reporting on total amount of renewable energy consumed                                             |
| Reporting on total weight of non-hazardous waste                                                   |
| Reporting on total weight of hazardous waste                                                       |

Improvement areas

- High priority

Insufficient reporting on environmental issues
- Medium priority

No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting
- Medium priority

No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)

Environment | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (3)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

Eriks N.V. ESG Ranking 2026

www.csrhub.com | 01 Mar 2026

Eriks N.V. boasts a high ESG ranking of 84 out of 100, placing it firmly in the premier 80–100 performance tier. Evaluated against 46,410 global entities and verified using five distinct industry sources, this impressive rank reflects a strong corporate commitment to sustainability.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

Neutral

valid from Mar 2026 to Apr 2036



DitchCarbon score: Eriks NV

ditchcarbon.com | 01 Oct 2025

Eriks NV currently holds a DitchCarbon score of 16, which sits 10 points below the industry average. This score places it lower than 36% of its sector peers, highlighting a critical area for sustainability and carbon efficiency improvements.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

Neutral

valid from Oct 2025 to Nov 2030



No records found for this company on Compliance Database

25 Jun 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jun 2026 to Jun 2031

Labor & Human Rights

Impact on score ●●●●

69/100

Labor & Human Rights | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

●50/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company's intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

|                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Labor and human rights policy on social dialogue                          |
| Labor and human rights policy on employee health and safety               |
| Dedicated responsibility for labor and human rights policy                |
| Labor and human rights policy scope of application specified              |
| Formal mechanisms to review and amend labor and human rights policy       |
| Standard policy on a majority of labor or human rights issues             |
| Labor and human rights policy on career management and training           |
| Labor and human rights policy on preventing discrimination and harassment |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                                               |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of policies on working conditions                                      |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on labor and human rights issues            |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on preventing discrimination and harassment |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on career management and training           |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on employee health and safety               |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on working conditions                       |

Labor & Human Rights | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

●0/100

Endorsements are your company's public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of an endorsement of external initiatives or principles on labor and human rights topics |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Labor & Human Rights | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

●100/100

Measures are your company's actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

|                                                                                                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Awareness training on discrimination and harassment                                                                                                                  |
| Training of employees on health and safety risks and best working practices                                                                                          |
| Complaints procedure in place for employees to report on occupational health and safety issues                                                                       |
| Preventive actions for repetitive strain injury (RSI)                                                                                                                |
| Actions to prevent discrimination in professional development and promotion processes                                                                                |
| Communication to all employees of remuneration process (e.g. salary grid, procedure for salary advancement)                                                          |
| Employee satisfaction survey                                                                                                                                         |
| Health care coverage of employees in place                                                                                                                           |
| Compensation for extra or atypical working hours                                                                                                                     |
| Collective bargaining agreement on working hours, overtime, or leaves                                                                                                |
| Actions to ensure equal pay for equal work                                                                                                                           |
| Collective bargaining agreement on career management & training                                                                                                      |
| Employee representatives or employee representative body (e.g. works council)                                                                                        |
| Family Friendly programs (FFPs) implemented (e.g. parental or care leaves, childcare services or allowances)                                                         |
| Skills development program tailored to employee needs                                                                                                                |
| Actions to ensure adequate wages                                                                                                                                     |
| Employee health & safety risk assessment                                                                                                                             |
| Employee health and safety emergency action plan                                                                                                                     |
| Equipment safety inspections or audits                                                                                                                               |
| Collective bargaining agreement on employees' health & safety                                                                                                        |
| Flexible organization of work (eg. remote work, flexi-time)                                                                                                          |
| Regular assessment of individual performance                                                                                                                         |
| Individual development and career plan for all employees                                                                                                             |
| Actions to promote internal mobility                                                                                                                                 |
| The company declares its main operation(s) is located in a region where the right to freedom of association is not restricted by local law/regulation (not verified) |
| Actions in place to ensure health and safety of non-employee workers and other contracted workers on premises                                                        |
| Grievance mechanism on working conditions                                                                                                                            |
| Collectively bargained wages                                                                                                                                         |
| Actions to manage working hours and overtime                                                                                                                         |
| Actions to promote equal opportunities for all in the workplace                                                                                                      |

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | Further develop your grievance mechanism on discrimination and harassment issues     |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of actions to prevent discrimination during recruitment phase |
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of actions to prevent workplace harassment                    |

Labor & Human Rights | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 50/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Strengths

|                                                                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Labor and human rights management system certification covers between 51% and 75% of the assessed scope |
| ISO 45001 certified                                                                                     |

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | Current labor and human rights certification(s) are insufficiently stringent in their requirements. The existing frameworks lack the necessary depth and comprehensive scope to effectively address all material issues within the theme |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Labor & Human Rights | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 100/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It's a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Strengths

|                                                                                                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supporting documents show an exceptional level of coverage of labor and human rights actions or certification throughout company operations |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Labor & Human Rights | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 50/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

|                                                                                                    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Standard reporting on labor and human rights issues                                                |
| Reporting on number of average training hours per employee                                         |
| Reporting on number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health               |
| Reporting on number of recordable work-related accidents                                           |
| Reporting on the percentage of women at top management level                                       |
| Report on average unadjusted gender pay gap                                                        |
| Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)                     |
| Report on percentage of women within the organization's board                                      |
| Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting                                                   |
| Reporting on the number of identified discrimination or harassment incidents or corrective actions |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting                                                                               |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS)                                                             |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of reporting on the ratio of the annual total compensation of the highest-paid individual to the median annual total compensation for all employees |

Labor & Human Rights | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (2)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

Eriks N.V. ESG Ranking 2026

www.csrhub.com | 01 Mar 2026

Eriks N.V. boasts a high ESG ranking of 84 out of 100, placing it firmly in the premier 80–100 performance tier. Evaluated against 46,410 global entities and verified using five distinct industry sources, this impressive rank reflects a strong corporate commitment to sustainability.

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

Neutral

valid from Mar 2026 to Apr 2036



No records found for this company on Compliance Database

25 Jun 2026

360° Watch

Impact on Score

Neutral

Severity

N/A

valid from Jun 2026 to Jun 2031

Ethics

Impact on score ●●○○

52/100

Ethics | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

100/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

|                                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Exceptional policy on ethics issues                   |
| Policies on corruption                                |
| Policy on fraud                                       |
| Disciplinary sanctions to deal with policy violations |
| Formal mechanisms to review and amend ethics policy   |
| Ethics policy scope of application specified          |
| Dedicated responsibility for ethics issues            |
| Detailed examples available regarding ethics issues   |
| Employee signature acknowledgement of ethics policy   |
| Policy on information security                        |
| Policy on conflict of interest                        |

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on ethics

Ethics | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● 0/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of an endorsement of external initiatives or principles on ethics

Ethics | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● 50/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

|                                                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Specific approval procedure for sensitive transactions (e.g. gifts, travel)                                          |
| Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report information security concerns                                     |
| Awareness training to prevent information security breaches                                                          |
| Training of employees on corruption and bribery prevention                                                           |
| Audits of control procedures to prevent information security breaches                                                |
| Incident response procedure (IRP) to manage breaches of confidential information                                     |
| Measures to protect third party data from unauthorized access or disclosure                                          |
| Whistleblower procedure for stakeholders to report corruption and bribery                                            |
| Measures for gaining stakeholder consent regarding the processing, sharing and retention of confidential information |

Improvement areas

|               |                                                                                     |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High priority | No supporting evidence of corruption risk assessments                               |
| High priority | No supporting evidence of information security risk assessments                     |
| Low priority  | No supporting evidence of audits of control procedures to prevent corruption        |
| Low priority  | No supporting evidence of an anti-corruption due diligence program on third parties |

Ethics | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                   |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of ISO 27001 certification |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of ISO 37001 certification |

Ethics | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 25/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It’s a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Improvement areas

|               |                                                                                                          |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High priority | No supporting documents on the coverage of ethics actions or certification throughout company operations |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Ethics | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

|                                                                                |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) |
| Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting                               |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High priority   | Insufficient reporting on ethics issues                                                                        |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting                   |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS) |

Ethics | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

● 75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

|                        |
|------------------------|
| No recommendations yet |
|------------------------|

News that impacted your score (2)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div><div><div>Eriks N.V. ESG Ranking 2026</div><div>www.csrhub.com   01 Mar 2026</div></div><div><div>Eriks N.V. boasts a high ESG ranking of 84 out of 100, placing it firmly in the premier 80–100 performance tier. Evaluated against 46,410 global entities and verified using five distinct industry sources, this impressive rank reflects a strong corporate commitment to sustainability.</div></div></div> | <div><div>360° Watch</div><div>Impact on Score</div><div>Neutral</div></div> <div><div>Severity</div><div>Neutral</div><div>valid from Mar 2026 to Apr 2036</div></div> <div><div><div><div></div></div><div><div></div></div><div><div></div></div><div><div></div></div></div></div> |
| <div><div><div>No records found for this company on Compliance Database</div><div>25 Jun 2026</div></div></div>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <div><div>360° Watch</div><div>Impact on Score</div><div>Neutral</div></div> <div><div>Severity</div><div>N/A</div><div>valid from Jun 2026 to Jun 2031</div></div>                                                                                                                    |

Sustainable Procurement

Impact on score ●●●○

● 40/100

Sustainable Procurement | Policies

Impact on score ●●●●

● 25/100

A policy is a set of objectives that addresses specific sustainability issues. It shows a company’s intention to reduce impact, mitigate risk or improve performance.

Strengths

|                                                                             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sustainable procurement policy scope of application specified               |
| Sustainable procurement policy on supplier labor and human rights practices |
| Formal mechanisms to review and amend sustainable procurement policy        |
| Dedicated responsibility for sustainable procurement policy                 |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                              |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of policy on conflict minerals issues                 |
| Medium priority | Basic sustainable procurement policies: lacks details on specific issues     |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of policies on supplier environmental practices       |
| Low priority    | No supporting evidence of quantitative target set on sustainable procurement |

Sustainable Procurement | Endorsements

Impact on score ●○○○

● 0/100

Endorsements are your company’s public adherence or commitment to meeting objectives and principles defined by a recognized third-party organization.

Improvement areas

Low priority

No supporting evidence of an endorsement of external initiatives or principles on sustainable procurement

Sustainable Procurement | Measures

Impact on score ●●●●

● 100/100

Measures are your company’s actions to support your sustainability policies and commitments.

Strengths

|                                                                               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Worker voice surveys or grievance mechanisms for suppliers                    |
| Integration of social and environmental clauses into supplier contracts       |
| Supplier assessment on environmental and social practices                     |
| Risk assessment of adverse sustainability impacts in the supply chain         |
| Training of buyers on social and environmental issues within the supply chain |
| Supplier sustainability code of conduct in place                              |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                                          |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of on-site audits of suppliers on environmental and social issues |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of actions implemented to mitigate conflict minerals issues       |

Sustainable Procurement | Certifications

Impact on score ●●●○

● 0/100

Certifications confirm your compliance with international standards (for example, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 37001, SA8000 or FSC Chain of Custody). They must be issued by an external certification body.

Improvement areas

|              |                                                                                     |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Low priority | No supporting evidence of certification regarding the sustainable procurement theme |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Sustainable Procurement | Coverage

Multiplying factor

● 25/100

Coverage means the level of deployment of measures and certifications throughout your company. It’s a multiplying factor. The higher it is, the higher score will be given to the Measures and Certifications indicators.

Improvement areas

|               |                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High priority | No supporting documents on the coverage of sustainable procurement actions throughout company operations or supplier base |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Sustainable Procurement | Reporting

Impact on score ●●●○

● 25/100

Reporting is based on quantitative KPIs that measure your implementation of sustainability practices.

Strengths

|                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions reporting value confirmed in supporting documentation |
| Reporting on total gross Scope 3 upstream GHG emissions                                          |
| Company communicates progress towards the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)                   |
| Materiality analysis in sustainability reporting                                                 |

Improvement areas

|                 |                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| High priority   | Insufficient reporting on sustainable procurement issues                                                       |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of external assurance or verification of the sustainability reporting                   |
| Medium priority | No supporting evidence of reporting aligned with a sustainability reporting standard (such as GRI, ESRS, IFRS) |
| Low priority    | No information on due diligence reporting on conflict minerals                                                 |

Sustainable Procurement | 360° Watch

Impact on score ●●●●

75/100

The 360° Watch Findings indicator is scored based on the data we gather by scanning thousands of sources in the public domain. This allows us to get a broader overview of your company’s sustainability management.

Strengths and improvement areas

No recommendations yet

News that impacted your score (2)

News stories about your company we found in public databases.

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div><div>Eriks N.V. ESG Ranking 2026</div><div>www.csrhub.com   01 Mar 2026</div><div>Eriks N.V. boasts a high ESG ranking of 84 out of 100, placing it firmly in the premier 80–100 performance tier. Evaluated against 46,410 global entities and verified using five distinct industry sources, this impressive rank reflects a strong corporate commitment to sustainability.</div></div> | <div><div>360° Watch</div><div>Impact on Score</div><div>Neutral</div><div>Severity</div><div>Neutral</div><div>valid from Mar 2026 to Apr 2036</div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div> |
| <div><div>No records found for this company on Compliance Database</div><div>25 Jun 2026</div></div>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <div><div>360° Watch</div><div>Impact on Score</div><div>Neutral</div><div>Severity</div><div>N/A</div><div>valid from Jun 2026 to Jun 2031</div></div>                                                            |

The below disclaimers apply to you if you have no contractual relationship with EcoVadis:

1. This Scorecard has a validity of twelve (12) months from the issue date. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.
2. This Scorecard is based on the disclosed information and news resources available to EcoVadis at the time of assessment. Should any information or circumstances change materially during the period of the Scorecard validity, EcoVadis reserves the right to place the Scorecard on hold and, if considered appropriate, to re-assess and possibly issue a revised Scorecard.
3. This Scorecard is the intellectual property of EcoVadis and must not be: (i) copied, modified, translated, published, reproduced and/or (ii) used as part of or in connection with any other CSR/ESG assessment, unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis.
4. This Scorecard is the confidential information of EcoVadis. Unless agreed otherwise in a written agreement signed with EcoVadis, this Scorecard and the rating must not be used by a third party as part of or in connection with any activity related to revenue generation and shared any further; it may be used only for a third party's internal purposes.
5. Only a Scorecard of a rated company having a Select subscription can be used for the purpose of ESG – linked loans and similar products offered by any financial institution outside of the EcoVadis solution.
6. The rating and this Scorecard have been prepared based on information provided by the rated company and in accordance with best industry practices and EcoVadis methodology, which may differ from those used by other companies conducting similar assessments. EcoVadis disclaims any liability for any actions and/or decisions taken by a third party, including any investment decision, based on the ratings and/or this Scorecard.